

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/024,190 12/21/2001		Kuniki Kino	506.39083VX1	4109
20457	7590 03/26/2002			
	LI TERRY STOUT AN	EXAMINER		
	I SEVENTEENTH STRE	LILLING, HERBERT J		
AKLINGTO	N, VA 22209	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1651	
		DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i></i>				A					
				Application No. 10/024,190		Applicant(s)  KINO ET AL.			
/ Offic		ffice Action Summary	/	Examiner	,	Art Unit			
/		•			J LILLING	1651			
	The	MAILING DATE f this com	munication app	l			dress		
Perio	d for Re	oly				·			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Statu		nancius ta sammusisation(	a) filed on 24 F	\	004				
		ponsive to communication(							
2a)		action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ Thi						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims									
•		n(s) 8-14 is/are pending in	the application						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5	-	n(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7		n(s) is/are objected t	0.						
8	⊠ Clain	n(s) <u>8-14</u> are subject to rest	triction and/or e	election requ	uirement.				
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)	-	roposed drawing correction				ved by the Examin	er.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13)		owledgment is made of a c	_	priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
	a)∐ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None	of:						
	1.[_	Certified copies of the price	•						
	2.	•							
	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
1)       2)	Notice of Re	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144				(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT			

Art Unit: 1651

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the preliminary amendment and the prior art information disclosure statement filed December 21,2001.

This application is a divisional application of application Ser. No. 09/663,795 filed 09/18/2000.

- Claims 8-14 are present in the instant application.
   Claims 1-7 have been cancelled.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: \*\*\*
- I. Whereby the microorganism is selected from the group:
  - a. Serratia
  - b. Corynebacterium
  - c. Arthrobacter
  - d. Microbacterium
  - e. Bacillus
  - f. Escherichia
- II. Whereby the L-amino acid is
  - i. L-histidine
  - ii. Other than i-please specify the L-amino acid.
- III. Whereby the DNA gyrase inhibitor is selected from the group consisting of the following and their metal salts:
  - 1. nalidixix acid
  - 2. oxolinic acid
  - 3. coumermycin
  - 4. novobiocin

- IV. Whereby the above III aminoquinoline derivative is selected from the group consisting of the following and their alkali metal salts:
  - W. chloroquine
  - X. amodiaquine
  - pentaquiine y.
  - Z. primaquine

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 8 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the species from each of the groups I-IV to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is (703) 308-2034 and fax number is (703) 308-4242 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is (703) 308-4743. Examiner can be reached Monday-Thursday from about 5:30 A.M. to about 3:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

H.J.Lilling: HJL (703) 308-2034 Art Unit 1651

Dr. Herbert J. Lilling Primary Examiner

Group 1600 Art Unit 1651